



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradomark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,586	10/29/2001	Edwin E. Suer	5788-01001	4694	
75	90 01/28/2003				
Dinnin & Dunn, P.C. Top of Troy Building 755 West Big Beaver Road			EXAMINER		
			ALIE, GHASSEM		
Troy, MI 48084			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724	3724	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		HC3				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/047,586	SUER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Ghassem Alie	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2002 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Retent and Trademark Office	<u> </u>					

Art Unit: 3724

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: an interior 17 on page 5, line 17 and on page 9, line 4. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8-12, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Priore (3,060,838). Regarding claim 1, Priore discloses an utensil 10 having a hollow 20 body with an inner wall and outer wall forming an interior, an open first 24 end and a second end 22, a plurality of the blades 40 extending across the interior in the plane perpendicular to the inner and outer walls for cutting a food item 41. See Figs 1-4.

Regarding claim 2, Priore discloses a plurality of grooves 26 extending from the first end 24 to a corresponding plurality of points approaching the second end 22 and a blade rack 30 slidably engaged with the outer wall for attachment of the plurality of the blades 40 wherein each of the plurality of the blades 40

Art Unit: 3724

01

correspondingly extends through one of the plurality of the grooves 26. See Figs 1-4 and col. 2, lines 17-32.

Regarding claim 3, Priore discloses a base 12 fixed to the second end. See Fig. 1.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Priore discloses that plurality of blades 40 includes a plurality of wires 40a wrapped about the blade rack 30. See Fig. 3.

Regarding claim 8, Priore discloses the utensil 10 wherein each of the plurality of grooves 26 equidistantly positioned with respect to each other between the first end 24 and the second end 25. See Fig. 4.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Priore discloses that the plurality of the blades 40,40a is fixed to the inner wall and the open first end 24. See col. 2, lines 12-52.

Regarding claims 11, 12 and 15-17, Priore discloses everything noted above including a closed second end and a cylindrical food item 41. Priore also discloses that a cylindrical food item 41 is placed within the hollow body of the utensil and it is cut by the downward movement of the plurality of blades 40,40a through the polarity of the grooves 26 along the length of the hollow body. See Figs 1-4 and col. 1, lines 45-64 and col. 2, lines 1-51.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3724

5. Claims 6, 7, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Priore in view of Berler (4,250,771). Regarding claims 6, 7, 13, and 14, Priore teaches everything noted above except a food receptacle removably attached to the first open end and having a corresponding prong extending through a hole for holding a food product. Berler teaches a food receptacle 18 (holder) attached to the first open end and having a corresponding prong 22 extending through a hole 16 for holding a food 19. See Fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 23-66. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide Priore's utensil with the food receptacle as taught by Berler in order to facilitate the slicing of the food by holding the food tied while it is being sliced.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wells, Jr. et al. (4,297,932) disclose a cheesecake-cutting machine.

Leffer (4,195,402) disclose a dessert cutter.

Lin (5,101,718) discloses a cutting device.

Magri (5,779,294) disclose a mounting system and method.

Longstreth (2002/0032967) discloses a cutting device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ghassem Alie whose telephone number is (703) 305-4981. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am - 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on (703) 305-1082. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 3724

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9302 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

GA/ga

January 16, 2003

Allan N. Shoap

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700